IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Edward Leon Muldrow,)	Civil Action No.: 4:12-cv-01003-RBH
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER
United States Army,)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Plaintiff Edward Leon Muldrow, proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, filed this action against Defendant United States Army, seeking injunctive relief and monetary damages. This matter is now before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) for the District of South Carolina. After screening Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court summarily dismiss Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and without service of process for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation' ") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated

by reference. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without service of

process. Because the Court finds it lacks subject matter jurisdiction, all pending motions are

deemed moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

January 3, 2013

Florence, South Carolina

2